

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,372	07/29	2/2003	Naofumi Nakamura	240896US2S	240896US2S 5150	
22850	7590	10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, S	SPIVAK, MC	WARREN, MATTHEW E				
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
/ LEE/II II LE	idii, vii 22.			2815		
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
045 4 4		10/628,372	NAKAMURA ET AL.					
Office Action	1 Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Matthew E Warren	2815					
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be availe after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified at If NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or expenses.	THIS COMMUNICATION. Able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. However, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on <u>29 Ju</u>	ulv 2003						
2a) ☐ This action is FINA		action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this applicati	<i>'</i> —							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	are rejected.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examine	er.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• • •	• •	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	• •					
·	• ','	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj carniner. Note the attached Office						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (F	TO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)				

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23 drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 758.
- II. Claims 24-27 and 28-30 drawn to a method of making a semiconductor, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case chemical mechanical polishing could be used instead of etching for the masking material.

Claims 24-27 link(s) inventions II and I. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 24-27. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or

including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEW

September 29, 2004

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800